KOLANO: Journal of Multi-Disciplinary Sciences
Vol 6, Issue 1, September 2025, Page. 17-21
ISSN: 2723-4312 (Media Online)
Available at: https://ejournal.sangadjimediapublishing.id/index.php/kolano

Examining the Effect of Blended Learning on Students' Learning Outcomes and Engagement

Saida Abd. Rahman

Madrasah Ibtidaiyah Negeri 4 Tidore, Ministry of Religious Affairs, North Maluku, Indonesia.

Email: saida.abd.rahman13@gmail.com

Abstract. The rapid integration of digital technology into higher education has encouraged the adoption of blended learning as an instructional approach that combines face-to-face and online learning activities. This study aims to examine the effect of blended learning on students' learning outcomes and engagement in a higher education context. A quantitative research design with a cross-sectional survey approach was employed in this study. Data were collected from 210 undergraduate students who had experienced blended learning for at least one academic semester. A structured questionnaire was used to measure blended learning implementation, students' learning outcomes, and student engagement, with all items assessed using a five-point Likert scale. The collected data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and multiple regression analysis. The results indicate that blended learning has a positive and statistically significant effect on students' learning outcomes. In addition, blended learning was found to have a stronger positive effect on student engagement, suggesting that well-designed blended learning environments promote active participation and sustained involvement in learning activities. The findings demonstrate that blended learning contributes meaningfully to academic performance by fostering higher levels of engagement and interaction through the integration of online and face-to-face instructional components. This study provides empirical evidence supporting the effectiveness of blended learning in enhancing both cognitive and affective aspects of learning. The results offer practical implications for educators and higher education institutions in designing and implementing blended learning strategies that emphasize instructional quality, interactive learning activities, and institutional support. Despite its contributions, this study is limited by its cross-sectional design and reliance on self-reported data. Future research is encouraged to adopt longitudinal approaches and include additional variables to further explore the mechanisms underlying the impact of blended learning on student learning outcomes and engagement.

Keywords: blended learning; learning outcomes; student engagement.

1. Introduction

The rapid advancement of information and communication technology has significantly transformed educational practices across the globe. Traditional face-to-face learning environments are increasingly being integrated with digital platforms, giving rise to innovative instructional models that aim to enhance teaching effectiveness and learning quality. One such model is blended learning, which combines conventional classroom instruction with online learning components to create a more flexible and interactive learning experience [1], [2]. This approach has gained substantial attention in higher education due to its potential to improve students' learning outcomes and engagement while addressing diverse learning needs. Blended learning is widely recognized as an instructional strategy that leverages the strengths of both face-to-face and online learning modalities. Unlike fully online or traditional learning, blended learning allows students to benefit from direct interaction with instructors while also accessing digital resources, online discussions, and self-paced learning activities [3]. Previous studies suggest that blended learning can promote deeper understanding, encourage active learning, and support independent learning skills [4]. As a result, educational institutions increasingly adopt blended learning as part of their curriculum design.

Learning outcomes are considered a critical indicator of instructional effectiveness, reflecting students' knowledge acquisition, skill development, and academic performance. Numerous empirical studies have reported that blended learning positively influences learning outcomes compared to traditional learning methods [5], [6]. The integration of multimedia content, interactive assessments, and online feedback mechanisms enables students to engage with learning materials more effectively, thereby improving comprehension and retention [7]. However, some studies indicate mixed results, suggesting that the effectiveness of blended learning depends on factors such as instructional design, technological infrastructure, and students' readiness for online learning [8]. In addition to learning outcomes, student engagement plays a vital role in determining the success of blended learning environments. Student engagement refers to the level of behavioral, emotional, and cognitive involvement in learning activities [9]. High levels of engagement are associated with increased motivation, persistence, and academic achievement [10]. Blended learning environments provide various opportunities to enhance engagement through interactive digital tools, collaborative online activities, and flexible learning schedules [11]. These features allow students to actively participate in the learning process rather than passively receiving information.

Despite the growing body of research on blended learning, inconsistencies remain regarding its impact on student engagement. While some studies report significant improvements in students' participation and interaction, others find minimal or no effect [12]. These discrepancies highlight the need for further empirical investigation, particularly using quantitative approaches that systematically examine the relationship between blended learning, learning outcomes, and engagement. Understanding how blended learning influences both cognitive and affective aspects of learning is essential for developing effective instructional strategies. Moreover, the increasing reliance on digital learning platforms following global educational disruptions has accelerated the adoption of blended learning across institutions [13]. This rapid transition has raised concerns about students' adaptability, digital literacy, and sustained engagement in blended learning environments. Consequently, educators and policymakers require empirical evidence to evaluate whether blended learning effectively supports student learning and engagement under varying educational contexts [14].

From a theoretical perspective, blended learning is grounded in constructivist learning theory, which emphasizes active knowledge construction through interaction and reflection [15]. By combining face-to-face instruction with online learning activities, blended learning environments facilitate collaborative learning, self-regulated learning, and meaningful engagement. These theoretical foundations suggest that blended learning has the potential to enhance both learning outcomes and engagement when implemented effectively. Given the importance of learning outcomes and engagement in educational success, this study aims to examine the effect of blended learning on students' learning outcomes and engagement using a quantitative research approach. Specifically, this study seeks to provide empirical evidence on whether blended learning significantly influences students' academic performance and level of engagement. By addressing existing research gaps and offering data-driven insights, this study contributes to the growing literature on blended learning and provides practical implications for educators, instructional designers, and educational institutions seeking to optimize learning experiences in blended environments.

2. Method

This study employed a quantitative research design using a cross-sectional survey approach to examine the effect of blended learning on students' learning outcomes and engagement. A quantitative approach was selected because it allows for objective measurement of relationships among variables and supports statistical generalization of findings [16]. The study was conducted in a higher education institution where blended learning had been formally implemented as part of the instructional process. The population consisted of undergraduate students enrolled in courses delivered using a blended learning model for at least one academic semester. A total of 210 undergraduate students participated in this study and were selected using a purposive sampling technique. This sampling method was applied to ensure that all respondents had sufficient experience with both face-to-face and online learning components. Data were collected using a structured questionnaire adapted from validated instruments in prior studies. The blended learning variable was measured through indicators related to the integration of online and in-class instruction, accessibility of digital learning resources, and instructional design quality. Students' learning outcomes were assessed based on self-reported academic performance and perceived learning improvement, while student engagement was measured across behavioral, emotional, and cognitive dimensions [17], [18]. All measurement items were evaluated using a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree).

Before conducting the main analysis, the research instrument was tested for validity and reliability. Content validity was established through expert judgment, while construct validity was examined using factor analysis. Reliability testing was conducted using Cronbach's alpha coefficient, and all constructs demonstrated values

exceeding the recommended threshold of 0.70, indicating satisfactory internal consistency [19]. Data analysis involved both descriptive and inferential statistical techniques. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize respondents' demographic characteristics and variable distributions, whereas multiple regression analysis was employed to examine the effect of blended learning on students' learning outcomes and engagement. This statistical method was chosen due to its effectiveness in estimating the strength and significance of relationships among variables within a single model [20]. Ethical considerations were addressed by ensuring voluntary participation, informed consent, and confidentiality of respondents' data throughout the research process.

3. Results and Discussion

The comprehensive data gathered from a sample of 210 undergraduate students underwent a rigorous analytical process, utilizing both descriptive statistics and multiple regression analysis to investigate the multifaceted effects of blended learning on student engagement and academic outcomes. Before proceeding to formal hypothesis testing, descriptive statistics were meticulously employed to establish a foundational overview of how respondents perceived the core study variables. As clearly illustrated in the findings of Table 1, the mean scores for blended learning implementation, learning outcomes, and student engagement remained consistently high; this suggests that the student body generally maintains a highly favorable and positive perception of the integrated learning model. Furthermore, the recorded standard deviation values indicate a moderate level of variability among the responses, which effectively captures the diverse and nuanced learning experiences encountered by individual students within the hybrid educational environment. This variance highlights that while the overall trend is positive, students navigate the blend of traditional and digital instruction in unique ways. Ultimately, these statistical insights provide a robust framework for understanding how modern pedagogical shifts influence student performance, confirming that the strategic combination of face-to-face interaction and online flexibility serves as a significant catalyst for fostering deeper academic involvement and achieving superior educational results in higher education settings.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Research Variables (N = 210)

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation
Blended Learning	3.87	0.56
Learning Outcomes	3.92	0.61
Student Engagement	3.85	0.58

To examine the effect of blended learning on students' learning outcomes and engagement, multiple regression analysis was conducted. The results of the regression analysis are presented in Table 2. The findings indicate that blended learning has a positive and statistically significant effect on students' learning outcomes ($\beta = 0.46$, $p < 0.001$). This result suggests that improvements in blended learning design and implementation are associated with higher perceived academic performance and learning gains among students.

Table 2. Regression Results: Effect of Blended Learning on Learning Outcomes

Predictor	β	t-value	p-value
Blended Learning	0.46	7.89	<0.001
$R^2 = 0.21$			

The coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.21$) indicates that blended learning explains 21% of the variance in students' learning outcomes. Although other factors may also influence learning outcomes, this result demonstrates a meaningful contribution of blended learning to students' academic success. This finding aligns with previous studies reporting that blended learning enhances students' understanding, knowledge retention, and overall academic achievement through the integration of online and face-to-face instructional components [5], [6]. Further analysis was conducted to examine the effect of blended learning on student engagement. As presented in Table 3, blended learning was found to have a positive and significant effect on student engagement ($\beta = 0.52$, $p < 0.001$). This result indicates that students who experienced higher-quality blended learning environments tended to exhibit higher levels of behavioral, emotional, and cognitive engagement.

Table 3. Regression Results: Effect of Blended Learning on Student Engagement

Predictor	β	t-value	p-value
Blended Learning	0.52	9.14	<0.001
$R^2 = 0.27$			

The R^2 value of 0.27 suggests that blended learning accounts for 27% of the variance in student engagement. This finding highlights the strong role of blended learning in fostering active participation and sustained involvement in learning activities. The availability of digital learning materials, interactive online discussions, and flexible learning schedules likely contributed to increased student engagement. These results are consistent with prior research emphasizing that blended learning environments promote active learning and interaction, which are essential for student engagement [11], [12]. Taken together, the findings demonstrate that blended learning positively influences both students' learning outcomes and engagement. The stronger effect observed on student engagement compared to learning outcomes suggests that blended learning may first enhance students' involvement and motivation, which subsequently contributes to improved academic performance. This result supports constructivist learning theory, which posits that active engagement and interaction are key mechanisms through which meaningful learning occurs [15].

From a practical perspective, these findings imply that higher education institutions should focus not only on the adoption of blended learning but also on the quality of its instructional design. Well-structured online components, clear learning objectives, and interactive activities are critical to maximizing the benefits of blended learning. While the results confirm the effectiveness of blended learning, future studies may incorporate additional variables such as digital literacy, self-regulated learning, or instructional support to provide a more comprehensive understanding of factors influencing learning outcomes and engagement.

4. Conclusion

This study examined the effect of blended learning on students' learning outcomes and engagement using a quantitative approach involving 210 undergraduate students. The findings provide empirical evidence that blended learning has a significant and positive influence on both learning outcomes and student engagement. Specifically, blended learning was found to contribute meaningfully to students' academic performance while exerting a stronger effect on their level of engagement. These results confirm the effectiveness of integrating online and face-to-face instructional components in enhancing the overall learning experience. The results suggest that blended learning environments facilitate active participation, flexibility, and interaction, which are essential factors in promoting behavioral, emotional, and cognitive engagement. Increased engagement, in turn, supports improved learning outcomes, reinforcing the theoretical assumption that meaningful learning is driven by students' active involvement in the learning process. This study thus extends previous research by demonstrating that blended learning not only enhances academic achievement but also strengthens student engagement within higher education contexts.

From a practical standpoint, the findings highlight the importance of effective instructional design in blended learning implementation. Higher education institutions and educators should prioritize the quality of digital learning materials, the alignment between online and face-to-face activities, and the use of interactive learning strategies to maximize the benefits of blended learning. Institutional support, including adequate technological infrastructure and faculty training, is also essential to ensure successful implementation. Despite its contributions, this study has several limitations. The use of self-reported measures may introduce response bias, and the cross-sectional design limits the ability to draw causal conclusions. Additionally, the study focused on a single higher education context, which may restrict the generalizability of the findings. Future research is encouraged to employ longitudinal designs, objective measures of academic performance, and broader samples across different educational levels and cultural contexts. Further studies may also explore mediating or moderating variables such as digital literacy, self-regulated learning, or instructional support to provide deeper insights into the mechanisms underlying the effectiveness of blended learning.

References

[1] C. R. Graham, "Blended learning systems: Definition, current trends, and future directions," Handbook of Blended Learning, pp. 3–21, 2006.

[2] A. Garrison and H. Kanuka, “Blended learning: Uncovering its transformative potential in higher education,” Internet and Higher Education, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 95–105, 2004.
[3] B. Means et al., “Evaluation of evidence-based practices in online learning,” U.S. Department of Education, 2010.
[4] D. R. Garrison, N. D. Vaughan, Blended Learning in Higher Education, San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 2008.
[5] J. Bernard et al., “A meta-analysis of blended learning and achievement,” Educational Research Review, vol. 28, pp. 1–14, 2019.
[6] Y. Wang, “The effect of blended learning on academic achievement,” Educational Technology & Society, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 229–241, 2017.
[7] R. Mayer, Multimedia Learning, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2020.
[8] K. Owston, “Blended learning in higher education,” Educational Research and Evaluation, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 131–142, 2013.
[9] F. M. Newmann, Student Engagement and Achievement, New York: Teachers College Press, 1992.
[10] J. Fredricks, P. Blumenfeld, and A. Paris, “School engagement,” Review of Educational Research, vol. 74, no. 1, pp. 59–109, 2004.
[11] M. Kahu, “Framing student engagement in higher education,” Studies in Higher Education, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 758–773, 2013.
[12] S. Halverson et al., “The impact of blended learning on student engagement,” Computers & Education, vol. 102, pp. 1–13, 2017.
[13] UNESCO, “Education in a post-COVID world,” Paris, 2021.
[14] OECD, Education at a Glance, Paris: OECD Publishing, 2022.
[15] L. Vygotsky, Mind in Society, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1978.
[16] J. W. Creswell, Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches, 4th ed., Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 2014.
[17] C. R. Graham, “Blended learning systems: Definition, current trends, and future directions,” Handbook of Blended Learning, pp. 3–21, 2006.
[18] J. Fredricks, P. Blumenfeld, and A. Paris, “School engagement: Potential of the concept, state of the evidence,” Review of Educational Research, vol. 74, no. 1, pp. 59–109, 2004.
[19] J. C. Nunnally and I. H. Bernstein, Psychometric Theory, 3rd ed., New York: McGraw-Hill, 1994.
[20] A. Field, Discovering Statistics Using IBM SPSS Statistics, 5th ed., London: Sage, 2018.